



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,747	09/18/2003	Alberto Bertani	36132	7992

116 7590 08/25/2005

PEARNE & GORDON LLP
1801 EAST 9TH STREET
SUITE 1200
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108

EXAMINER

KYLE, MICHAEL J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3677

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/666,747	Applicant(s) BERTANI, ALBERTO	
	Examiner Michael J. Kyle	Art Unit 3677	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 June 2005.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-13 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-13 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Yr

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 7, and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Winkler (U.S. Patent No. 5,879,100). Winkler discloses an adjustable handle comprising an operating lever (11) ending into a cylindrical body (16) inside of which there is a tightening member (15) slidably mounted. The tightening member carries a bushing or stud (column 3, lines 9-11). The cylindrical body and tightening member comprise fellow toothings (27, 28) kept in engagement by a spring (13) and a check element (12). A pressure is imparted on the check element to overcome the action of the spring and remove engagement between the toothings. The check element is in the form of a push button projecting from the body in one piece with the tightening member (15) with a seat (slot on 23). Examiner notes that the completely assembled handled assembly is one piece. The seat is accessible from an operating surface (top surface of 23) and is capable of receiving a mounting/removal tool. The situation exists where the push button is fixed against rotation relative to the tightening member, for example, when the push button member is completely screwed into the tightening member, the push button is fixed against further rotation in the tightening direction.

3. With respect to claim 2, it is noted that the limitation “molded” is a process limitation in an article claim, and is given little patentable weight. As long as the prior meets all of the

Art Unit: 3677

structural limitations of the claim, and is capable of being made by the same process, then it is considered to read on the claim. The seat on Winkler is capable of being molded into the check element.

4. With respect to claims 7 and 8, Winkler discloses the seat to be a screw driver cutting

5. With respect to claims 10-13, Winkler discloses a seat that is capable of receiving a tool.

The tool may be a screwdriver or a motorized screwdriver/unscrewdriver. The operating lever (11) has an ergonomic structure, and is slightly curved and tapered towards the outer end (see figures 1 and 2). A tool is capable of being motorized.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winkler.

Winkler does not disclose the cap as claimed. However, as claimed, applicant's cap appears to be a result of separating the parts of Winkler. Specifically, the portion (23) of the element (12) of Winkler that extends radially outward of the shank portion (22) meets the limitations of claimed "cap". This portion is applied on the check element (12). The spring (13) abuts the inner periphery of this portion inside the body of the handle. Separating parts of the prior art is considered to be within the level of one having ordinary skill in the art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Winkler by

Art Unit: 3677

the outward portion (on 23), from the rest of element (12), as no new or unexpected result arises from such a modification.

8. With respect to claim 4, Winkler, once modified by separating outer portions of 23 from 12, would comprise a through hole (aligned with 22) at the center of the operating surface and is capable of being applied by snapping onto the check element. Examiner notes there is no specific structure claimed that is associated with the function, or process, of “snapping”.

9. Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Winkler in view of Vadney (U.S. Patent No. 5,839,388). Winkler shows a seat that is a screwdriver cutting. However, Winkler fails to explicitly shows the seat to be a cross cut, or for the tool to be an Allen wrench of a motorized screwdriver/unscrewdriver. Examiner notes that for an Allen wrench to be used, the seat must be a hexagonal shape.

10. Vadney teaches a fastener (31) with a seat (on head 37) that receives a driving tool. The seat may receive a flat bladed screwdriver, a Phillips head screwdriver, or an Allen wrench (column 5, lines 25-29). Therefore, Vadney establishes an equivalence between the seat of Winkler that receives a flat head screwdriver, and cross-cut (Phillips head screwdriver) and Allen wrench configurations. An Allen wrench is capable of being motorized. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use any of these configurations for the seat, as they are equivalent within the art.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 3677

11. Applicant's arguments filed June 9, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

12. Applicant argues the push button of Winkler is fixed against rotation relative to the tightening member. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner asserts the situation exists where the push button is fixed against rotation relative to the tightening member, for example, when the push button member is completely screwed into the tightening member, the push button is fixed against further rotation in the tightening direction, relative to the tightening member.

Conclusion

13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

14. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.


Art Unit: 3677

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Kyle whose telephone number is 571-272-7057. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mk


ROBERT J. SANDY
PRIMARY EXAMINER